

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. REPORT No.

2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION No.

3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG No.

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

Evaluation Of Chemical Erosion Control Agents

5. REPORT DATE

April 1973

6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION

7. AUTHOR(S)

R.L. Mearns

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT No.

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS

10. WORK UNIT No.

11. CONTRACT OR GRANT No.

12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS

13. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED

14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

16. ABSTRACT

Public concern over environmental impact has resulted in the development of numerous chemicals which are publicized as being capable of controlling erosion. In order to provide useful guidelines on this subject to designers, construction personnel and maintenance men, this department is conducting field evaluations of those products that appear to offer potential benefits. This report presents the results of one such evaluation.

17. KEYWORDS

642126

18. No. OF PAGES:

20

19. DRI WEBSITE LINK

<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/research/researchreports/1973/73-54.pdf>

20. FILE NAME

73-54.pdf

4442
C.2

EVALUATION OF CHEMICAL EROSION CONTROL AGENTS

R. L. MEARNS

Associate Engineer Geologist

73-54

73-54

4442
C.1

LIBRARY COPY
Materials & Research Dept.

642126
April 1973

Evaluation
of
Chemical Erosion Control Agents

R. L. Mearns
Associate Engineer Geologist

Public concern over environmental impact has resulted in the development of numerous chemicals which are publicized as being capable of controlling erosion. In order to provide useful guidelines on this subject to designers, construction personnel and maintenance men, this department is conducting field evaluations of those products that appear to offer potential benefits. This report presents the results of one such evaluation.

The test was conducted on Road 07-Ven-101 PM 32.2/41.2 (Contract No. 07-032604). The contract called for stabilizing emulsion. The contractor elected to use a product called Soil Seal. A second product, Soil-Lok, was included by contract change order and paid for with Category 2 research funds. An untreated area of slope was also included to aid in evaluating the erosion control potential of the products.

The actual test site was the northwest end of the cut slope between Sta. 499+ and 517+. The location of this cut is shown on Figure 1. The materials were applied to the 1:1 slope above the top bench. There is no access to the bench and difficult access to the top of the cut. Plate 1 shows the cut slope as it appeared at the time of treatment.

The material exposed in the portion of the slope included in this evaluation consisted primarily of siltstones and sandstones with some shales and conglomerates. A buried uncemented and unconsolidated sand layer, (plate 1), was exposed near the top of the cut. The highly erodible nature of this layer provided evidence of a potential stability problem at the top of the cut.

The contractor treated all of the slope but the last 200 feet at the northwest end above the top bench with 45 gallons of Soil Seal, 200 pounds of rye seed and 500 pounds of fertilizer per acre. A 100-foot untreated area was bypassed while the last 100 feet of the same slope was treated with Soil-Lok.

April 1973

Soil Seal is a water soluble copolymer emulsion which forms a nonwater soluble plastic coating when cured. Soil-Lok is sodium silicate which has been hardened with calcium chloride solution to reduce its water solubility.

The southwest orientation of the slope face exposed these chemicals to direct attack by the sun's rays and the winds and rains associated with storms in that area. "Soil-Lok" was the most durable material known at the time of treatment. It was therefore anticipated that a limit to the effective life of spray-on treatments could be determined by this experiment. It was also reasoned that the presence of the highly erodible sand layer would permit visual evaluation of the effectiveness of Soil Seal and Soil-Lok when compared to the untreated area. The chemical treatments were made in September 1971 and inspected in January and November 1972 and April 1973. No quantitative evaluation was deemed necessary as the readily discernible conditions of the slope offered face validity. Plates 2 and 3 show the slope and bench at time of treatment.

The validity of the test was established immediately. Although rainfall data was not obtained at the cut slope, nearby stations reported 6 to 7 inches of rain during December 1971. This is approximately twice the normal rate.

An inspection of the test area conducted in January 1972 showed that the untreated area had developed small-scale gullies and had collected large quantities of debris on the benches (see Plates 4 and 5).

At the time of this inspection no trace of a surface crust of Soil Seal could be found anywhere. The rye seed that had been applied with the Soil Seal had either washed into pockets on the slope or onto the bench. The Soil Seal as used on this project was concluded to be totally ineffective. The Soil-Lok, however, had formed a hard and nearly continuous crust which resisted erosion up to that time (see Plate 6).

A final inspection of the site was made April 5, 1973. The overall appearance of the slope at that time is shown in Plate 7. The scattered grass on the slope is nearly all barley. The seed for this vegetation apparently came from the field at the top of the cut which is planted in barley this year.

Plate 8 shows the nearly complete exclusion of vegetation from the Soil-Lok test plot, further verifying that the surface is still virtually intact. Plate 9 shows an area where erosion has broken through the crust. Once under-cutting has begun, it appears that the surface loses its integrity quickly.

April 1973

Plates 10 and 11 are taken looking down the test slopes from the top of the cut. Plate 10 shows the bench below the Soil Seal treated slope. A layer of fine sand deposited from this year's storms can be seen to cover the surface. The bench shown in this picture is identical in appearance to the bench below the untreated slope. The thickness of the sand has resulted in development of a rather sparse vegetative cover. In contrast to this, the bench below the Soil-Lok (Plate 11) shows no sand buildup and is nearly completely grass covered.

The following conclusions are based upon observations on this project.

1. Soil Seal, at the rate of 45 gallons per acre, will not provide erosion protection. Subsequent studies in other areas indicate a rate of 220 gallons per acre is required to provide erosion protection.
2. Soil-Lok, the most durable of all known chemical erosion treatments, will protect against erosion for a maximum of 2 years. This test site was not subject to freeze-thaw, so this observation applies only to this test situation.
3. Soil-Lok prevents the establishment of vegetation for at least the same period as it prevents erosion.


Two other field observations also deserve mention. The first is the apparent development of volunteer vegetation over a substantial portion of the cut slope. Some concern was felt that the material exposed in the cut face might be sterile and thereby inhibit vegetation. Since grass is growing where the seed and fertilizer have washed away this concern seems unwarranted. This is one example of the development of plant life under adverse conditions. With proper planning, plants will grow in most cut slopes. The key is to identify the proper plants for the situation. If other than the ideal plants are to be used, consideration should be given to supplemental watering and fertilizers.

The second observation is illustrated in Plate 12. This gully is developing at the north end of the bench as the result of draining water collected by the bench to the north end. The increasing velocity and volume ultimately result in gully development. This condition probably exists on all of the benches in this cut and will ultimately result in severe erosion problems at the north end of the cut adjacent to the face and could conceivably develop into stability problems, if allowed to develop long enough. If benches are to be used consideration must be given to access and drainage.

FIGURE I

642126

In Ventura County between 1.3 miles north of
Route 33 in Ventura and 2.4 miles south of Santa Barbara County Line






Plate 1 - Cut Slope at the Time of Treatment




Plate 2 - Appearance of the Slope at the Time of Treatment



Plate 3 - Appearance of the Bench at the Time of Treatment



Plate 4 - Gullies in Untreated Area (Right) with
Uneroded Soil-Lok Area (left)



Plate 5 - Debris on Bench. Untreated Area in Fore-
ground, Soil Seal Treated Area in Background



Plate 6 - Lack of Debris on Bench Below Soil-Lok Treatment.




Plate 7 - Appearance of Cut Slope, 4-5-73



Plate 8 - Soil-Lok Test Plot



Plate 9 - Erosion Starting in Soil-Lok Test Plot



Plate 10 - Bench Below Soil Seal Test Plot




Plate 11 - Bench Below Soil-Lok Test Plot



Plate 12 - Erosion of Bench at North End

Memorandum

To : Mr. John L. Beaton
Materials and Research Engineer

Date: April 17, 1973

File : 645207

Attention Mr. Carl R. Sundquist
Research Coordination

From : Department of Public Works—Division of Highways
Materials and Research Department

Subject: Final Report on Research Project
"Evaluation of Air Cooled Blast Furnace
Slag as Aggregate for PCC"

Attached is the final memo report on Project 19503-762504-645207. This project consisted of performing laboratory tests on mortar and concrete designed to accelerate any chemical or physical action which might show any adverse affects resulting from the use of air cooled blast furnace slag in portland cement concrete. Approximately \$2500 was spent on this project.

As a result of the program conducted, it is determined there is no reason to limit the use of slag because of potential chemical action in plain concrete. No attempt was made in this program to determine its effect on embedded steel. This factor has been investigated on another project. No further work is anticipated on this project.

D. L. Spellman
Supervising Materials and
Research Engineer - Concrete

APPROVED

SNB:fp
cc: GAHill
CCPeterson (3)
DLSpellman
JHWoodstrom
SNBailey
M&R Library (10) ✓
Research Coordination (Orig.)

Original Signed

JOHN L. BEATON

John L. Beaton
Materials & Research Engineer

Date

4-24-74

Attachment

FINAL REPORT

EVALUATION OF AIR COOLED BLAST FURNACE SLAG
AS AGGREGATE FOR PCC

The work consisted of performing various strength and volume change tests on mortar and concrete made with slag aggregate and comparing results with mortar and concrete made with "control" aggregates in order to determine the long term effect of slag on concrete properties.

Materials Tested

Air cooled iron blast furnace slag from the Kaiser Steel Mill at Fontana, California, as processed by the Fontana Slag Company, was the material selected for the test program. The mortar specimens were tested with both aged and fresh crushed material.

The control aggregate was from the Lone Star Industries plant at Fair Oaks, California (formerly Pacific Cement and Aggregates Co.).

Testing Program

Four series of tests were performed relative to volume change and strength.

1. Concrete specimens 4"x5"x18" were cast and subjected to continuous exposure in a fog curing room (RH 100% and temperature 73°F). These specimens were measured for length change and selected specimens were broken in flexure at 1, 6, 12, and 24 months.
2. Concrete specimens 3"x3"x11-1/4" were subjected to the testing procedure in ASTM Method C227, "Potential Alkali Reactivity of Cement Aggregate Combinations". The moist

heat involved in this series was intended to accelerate any potential effect of slag on volume change characteristics.

3. Mortar specimens 1"x1"x11-1/4" were subjected to autoclaving procedures in accordance with ASTM C151, after curing in water for 65 hours. These specimens were made with freshly crushed slag as well as the aged slag and control aggregate.
4. Mortar specimens fabricated as in Series No. 3 were subjected to alternate one week periods of drying at 100°F and soaking in a saturated solution of sodium chloride. Length changes were measured following each cycle for a period of one year.

Results

Test results of the above program are tabulated as follows:

	<u>1 Mo.</u>	<u>6 Mos.</u>	<u>1 Yr.</u>	<u>2 Yrs.</u>
<u>Flexural Strength, PSI</u>				
Control (Fair Oaks)	855	905	880	920
Fontana Slag	920	950	945	980
<u>Expansion in Moist Room, %</u>				
Control (Fair Oaks)	+0.002	+0.007	+0.007	
Fontana Slag	+0.004	+0.015	+0.017	
<u>Expansion in Moist Heat, % (Modified Alkali-Aggregate Reactivity Test)</u>				
Control (Fair Oaks)	0.037	0.044	0.042	
Fontana Slag	0.020	0.029	0.027	
<u>Length Change after Cycles of Brine Soak and Oven Drying (7 days each/cycle)</u>				
	<u>6 Cycles</u>	<u>13 Cycles</u>	<u>26 Cycles</u>	
Control (Ottawa Sand)	-0.025%	-0.016%	-0.019%	
Fontana Slag (Old -4)	+0.006%	+0.023%	+0.031%	
Fontana Slag (fresh crushed)	-0.005%	+0.009%	+0.014%	

Autoclave Bars
Age 3 Days,
Avg. of 3 Rounds)

Control	+0.050%
Fontana Slag (Old -4)	+0.017%
Fontana Slag (fresh crushed)	+0.019%

In addition to the above testing program, more information on slag aggregate concrete can be found in an earlier study reported September 1967, entitled "Blast Furnace Slag for Use as Aggregate", M&R No. 645169.

Conclusions

Air cooled iron blast furnace slag did not adversely affect either strength or volume change properties of mortar or concrete in any of the tests included in this program. The only detrimental feature noted was a definite discoloration of specimens containing slag aggregate when exposed to moisture for extended periods of time. It was, however, noted that the discoloration disappears when the concrete dries out and is exposed to the sunlight. This material has been used in the past year (1972) on three paving contracts in District 08 and reports indicate the placing and performance of the concrete was satisfactory. However, the placing of the slag aggregate concrete was greatly improved when the 1x4 fraction was replaced with natural aggregate. The all slag concrete was reported as being harsh.

The findings of this study have been implemented by the issue of a Standard Special Provision for Districts 07, 08, and 11, covering the use of slag aggregate in plain concrete. A copy of the provision is attached.

Acknowledgment

We would like to express our appreciation for the assistance given by District 08 Materials and Construction personnel who provided samples and observation on field performance.

(To be added to Materials
section for all District 07,
08, and 11 projects.)
(Delete para. 13 or 14.)

645207

Materials-M25
1-2-73

8-1. SLAG AGGREGATE.--Aggregate produced from slag
resulting from any steel-making process shall not be used
for any highway construction except for the following items:

1. Imported Borrow
2. Aggregate Subbase
3. Class 2 Aggregate Base

Aggregate produced from air-cooled iron blast furnace slag
may be used in highway construction with the following excep-
tions:

2

Air-cooled iron blast furnace slag shall not be used
to produce aggregate for:

2

1. Structure backfill material.
2. Pervious backfill material.
3. Permeable material.
4. Reinforced portland cement concrete except
bridge approach slabs.
5. Any precast or prestressed portland cement
concrete component or structure.

Steel slag to be used to produce aggregate for this project
shall be crushed so that 100 percent of the material will
pass a 3/4 inch sieve and then shall be control aged for a
period of at least 6 months under conditions that will main-
tain all portions of the stockpiled material at a moisture
content in excess of 6 percent of the dry weight of the
aggregate.

3

Any supplier of steel slag aggregate shall provide
separate stockpiles for controlled aging of the slag. An
individual stockpile shall contain not less than 10,000 nor
more than 50,000 tons of said slag. The material in each
individual stockpile shall be assigned a unique lot number
and each stockpile shall be identified with a permanent

4

Materials-M25
1-2-73
(Continued)

system of signs. The supplier shall maintain a permanent record of the dates on which stockpiles are completed and controlled aging begun, of the dates when controlled aging was completed, and of the dates tests were made and the results of said tests. Moisture tests shall be made at least once per week. No credit for aging will be given for the time period covered by any tests which show a moisture content of 6 percent or less. Such stockpiles and records shall be available to the Engineer during normal working hours for inspection, check testing and review.

The supplier shall notify the Materials and Research Engineer, 5900 Folsom Boulevard, Sacramento, California, 95819, when each stockpile is completed and controlled aging begun. No more aggregate shall be added to the stockpile unless a new aging period is initiated. A further notification shall be sent when controlled aging is completed.

The supplier shall provide a certificate of compliance in conformance with the requirements in Section 6-1.07, "Certificates of Compliance," of the Standard Specifications. Each stockpile or portion of a stockpile that is used in the work will be considered a lot. Said certificates of compliance shall state that the steel slag aggregate has been aged in a stockpile for at least 6 months at a moisture content in excess of 6 percent of the dry weight of the aggregate.

Each delivery of aggregate containing steel slag shall be accompanied by a delivery tag for each load which will identify the lot of material by stockpile number, where the slag was aged, and the date that the stockpile was completed and controlled aging begun.

Air-cooled iron blast furnace slag or natural aggregate may be blended in proper combinations with steel slag aggregate to produce the specified gradings, for those items for which steel slag aggregate is permitted.

Aggregate containing slag shall meet all of the applicable quality requirements for the items in which the aggregate is used.

The combined slag aggregate shall conform to the specified grading for the item in which it is used. The grading will be determined by Test Method No. Calif. 202, modified by Test Method No. Calif. 905 when there is a difference in specific gravity of 0.2 or more between the coarse and fine portion of the aggregate or between blends of different aggregates.

Materials-M25
1-2-73
(Continued)

No aggregate produced from slag shall be placed within one foot, measured in any direction, of any non-cathodically protected pipe or structure unless the aggregate is incorporated in portland cement concrete pavement, in asphalt concrete, or in cement treated base. 11

When crushed air-cooled iron blast furnace slag is used as aggregate in asphalt concrete, the K_C factor requirements, as determined by Test Method No. Calif. 303, will not apply. 12

When slag aggregate is used for imported borrow, a layer of not less than _____ inches of topsoil, measured after compaction, shall be placed over the imported borrow in all areas where highway planting is to be performed. In all other areas, slag aggregate used for embankment construction shall not be placed within 18 inches of finished slope lines, measured normal to the plane of the slope. Full compensation for furnishing and placing topsoil and cover, as provided herein, shall be considered as included in the contract price per ton or per cubic yard paid for imported borrow and no additional compensation will be allowed therefor. 13

Slag aggregate used for embankment construction shall not be placed within 18 inches of finished slope lines, measured normal to the plane of the slope. 14